UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,456	10/31/2006	Marcus Brian Mayhall Fenton	C049105/0225761	8485
BRYAN CAVE	7590 04/26/201 E LLP	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue of		CERNOCH, STEVEN MICHAEL		
New York, NY 10104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/26/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/590,456	FENTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	STEVEN M. CERNOCH	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 J	anuary 2011					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
,	· 					
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Globba in accordance with the practice and in	expano adaylo, 1000 0.5. 11, 10	0.4.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 52-57,60-77 and 79-89 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 52-57,60-77 and 79-89 is/are rejected for claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2010 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2011.	accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application trity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of informal P 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 52-57, 60-65, 68, 72-77, 79-82, 85, 87 and 89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Williams et al. US Pat No 5,779,159).

Re claim 52, Williams et al. shows an apparatus for generating a mist (Fig. 2A) comprising: a housing (B) having a plurality of interior walls, at least one of the plurality of interior walls defining a passageway along a longitudinal center axis (PM), the passageway having a transport fluid inlet (W), a plenum (PM) adjacent to the transport fluid inlet, a portion (right side of O) adjacent to the plenum, and an outlet (left side of O), the at least one of the plurality of interior walls (PM, near O) being continuously tapered outwardly with respect to the axis along the portion and the plenum (PM) adjacent to the transport fluid inlet (W) being of different cross-sectional area than the transport fluid inlet at every point along the length of the plenum adjacent to the transport fluid inlet; a protrusion (S) with a solid interior located proximate the portion, the protrusion having an outer surface tapered outwardly with respect to the axis; a means for generating a mist substantially of a desired droplet size from a working fluid (F) with a transport fluid (W), the means including a transport nozzle (O) and a working nozzle (PO), the a transport nozzle being defined between the at least one of the

Art Unit: 3752

plurality of interior walls tapered outwardly with respect to the axis along the portion, and the outer surface tapered outwardly of the protrusion (S); the working nozzle (PO) being defined by other of the plurality of interior walls of the housing, the working nozzle being coincident the transport nozzle so that a the working fluid communicated to and exiting the working nozzle and the transport fluid communicated to and exiting the transport nozzle contact for the first time and mix; wherein the working nozzle is defined by a working nozzle outer surface facing inward toward the axis and a working nozzle inner surface facing outward away from the axis; wherein at least part of the working nozzle outer surface (X) converges toward the axis in a direction along the axis toward the outlet; and a working fluid inlet (F) disposed along the housing in communication with the working nozzle.

Re claim 53, Williams et al. shows a chamber (in front of S) adjacent the portion wherein the transport nozzle (O) exits into the chamber and the working nozzle (PO) exits into the chamber so that the working fluid communicated to the working nozzle mixes in the chamber with the transport fluid exiting the transport nozzle.

Re claim 54, Williams et al. shows an apparatus for generating a mist (Fig. 2a), the apparatus having an apparatus axis, the apparatus comprising: a housing (B), and a means for suppressing combustion with a mist, the means including: a first fluid passage (PO) formed in the housing having a first fluid inlet (F) and a first fluid outlet (PO); the first fluid passage defining a working nozzle; the first fluid passage comprising a first annular portion concentric with the apparatus axis, the first annular portion having a first outer surface facing inward toward the apparatus axis and a first inner surface

Art Unit: 3752

facing outward away from the apparatus axis; wherein at least part of the first outer surface (X) converges toward the apparatus axis in a direction toward the first fluid outlet; a second fluid passage (O) formed in the housing having a second fluid inlet (W) and a second fluid outlet (O); a protrusion (S) located in the second fluid passage to define an annular transport nozzle with a second inner surface facing outward away from the apparatus axis and a second outer surface facing inward toward the apparatus axis, that are both concentric with the apparatus axis and substantially frusto-conical in shape, and wherein the second inner surface and the second outer surface both diverge away from the apparatus axis in the direction toward the second fluid outlet (O); wherein the first fluid passage and second fluid passage are separate before the first fluid outlet and the second fluid outlet.

Re claim 55 & 74, Williams et al. shows a transport plenum (PM) within the apparatus and located in the second fluid passage between the second fluid inlet (W) and the transport nozzle (O).

Re claim 56, 75 & 77, Williams et al. shows the transport plenum (PM) and the transport nozzle (O) are arranged axially in the apparatus.

Re claim 57 &76, Williams et al. shows the transport plenum (PM) is concentric with the apparatus axis.

Re claim 60 & 79, Williams et al. shows a working fluid plenum (Fig. 2B, P) within the apparatus and located in the first fluid passage between the first fluid inlet (F) and the working nozzle (PO), wherein the working fluid plenum is annular and circumscribes the apparatus axis.

Re claim 61 & 80, Williams et al. shows the working fluid plenum (P) substantially circumscribes the transport nozzle (O).

Re claim 62, Williams et al. shows the working fluid plenum (P) substantially circumscribes the protrusion (S).

Re claims 63 & 81, Williams et al. shows the working nozzle (PO) has inner and outer surfaces at the first fluid outlet, each being substantially frusto-conical in shape, wherein the inner surface of the working nozzle faces outward away from the apparatus axis and the outer surface of the working nozzle faces inward toward the apparatus axis.

Re claims 64 & 82, Williams et al. shows wherein the working nozzle (PO) substantially circumscribes the transport nozzle (O).

Re claim 65, Williams et al. shows wherein the working nozzle (PO) substantially circumscribes the protrusion (S).

Re claims 68 & 85, Williams et al. shows a mixing chamber (Fig. 2A, far left), wherein the first fluid outlet (PO) and second fluid outlet (O) are connected to the mixing chamber.

Re claims 70 & 87, Williams et al. shows the transport nozzle (O) is shaped with a convergent-divergent profile (the nozzle converges toward O in the flow direction and diverges away from O in the flow direction) capable of providing supersonic flow of the transport fluid which flows there through.

Re claims 72 & 89, Williams et al. shows to spray water droplets on the fire (abstract).

Art Unit: 3752

Re claim 73, Williams et al. shows an apparatus for generating a mist (Fig. 2A), the apparatus having an apparatus axis and an outlet end, the apparatus comprising: a first fluid passage (P) having a first fluid inlet (F) and a first fluid outlet (PO); the first fluid passage defining a first nozzle; the first fluid outlet being annular and concentric with the apparatus axis, the first fluid passage comprising a first annular portion (P) concentric with the apparatus axis, the first annular portion having a first outer surface facing inward toward the apparatus axis and a first inner surface facing outward away from the apparatus axis; wherein at least part of the first outer surface (X) converges toward the apparatus axis in a direction toward the outlet end; a second fluid passage (PM) having a second fluid inlet (W) and a second fluid outlet (O); the second fluid passage defining a second nozzle; the second fluid outlet being annular and concentric with the apparatus axis, the second fluid passage comprising a second annular portion concentric with the apparatus axis, the second annular portion having a second outer surface facing inward toward the apparatus axis and a second inner surface facing outward away from the apparatus axis; wherein at least part of the second outer surface (O) diverges away from the apparatus axis in a direction toward the outlet end; and wherein at least part of the second inner surface (S) diverges away from the apparatus axis in a direction toward the outlet end; and wherein the second fluid outlet is located between the first fluid outlet (PO) and the apparatus axis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 66, 67, 69, 83, 84 & 86 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. US Pat No 5,779,159).

Re claims 66 & 83, Williams et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the transport nozzle having an exit area to throat area ratio in the range of 1.75 to 15. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the exit area to throat area ratio in the range of 1.75 to 15, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

It would have been obvious to utilize a ratio in the range of 1.75 to 15 for the transport nozzle exit area to throat area in order to ensure a free flow of the liquid at the exit.

Re claims 67, 69, 84 & 86, Williams et al. discloses the claimed invention except for an included angle of 6 or 12 degrees. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include an angle of 6 or 12 degrees, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

It would have been obvious to utilize an angle of 6 or 12 degrees for the transport nozzle to have a wider dispersal pattern.

Claims 71 and 88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Williams et al. (US Pat No 5,779,159) as applied to claims 52-57, 60-70, 72-77, 79-87 and 89 above, and further in view of Pennamen et al. (5,810,252).

Re claims 71 and 88, Williams et al. teaches a primary fluid and an additive fluid as well as that the working fluid is water (Fig. 2B, W and column 3, lines 7-11).

Page 8

Williams et al. does not teach a steam generator or that the transport fluid is steam.

However, Pennamen et al. teaches steam (column 2, lines 64-65), which would inherently come from something generating the steam, for atomization.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the primary fluid of Williams et al. steam as taught by Pennamen et al. to aide in atomization (col. 2, 52-55).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 52-57, 60-77 and 79-89 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEVEN M. CERNOCH whose telephone number is (571)270-3540. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Len Tran can be reached on (571)272-1184. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/590,456 Page 9

Art Unit: 3752

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. M. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752 4/12/2011 /Jason J Boeckmann/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3752